



Written report of the contracting authority

pursuant to Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on Public Procurement, as amended
(hereinafter referred to as the "Act")

an above-threshold public contract awarded in an open procedure
entitled

„Supply of LiDAR sensors“

Lot 3: A set of 2 pieces of 360° long-range LiDAR sensors

Identification details of the contracting authority (name, registered office, business ID number):	VSB – Technical University of Ostrava 17. listopadu 2172/15, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba 61989100
Subject of the public contract:	The subject of performance for Lot 3 of the public contract is the delivery of a set of 2 pieces of 360° high performance long-range LiDAR sensors. The performance also includes transport to the place of performance. A detailed specification of the subject of the public contract is provided in the procurement documentation.
Price agreed in the contract for the public contract:	CZK 325,000.00
Type of procurement procedure used:	open procedure pursuant to Section 56 of the Act
Identification of the tenderers in the procurement procedure (name/company name, business ID number, registered office/place of business) and their tender prices:	
Tender No. 2	
3gon Positioning s.r.o.	business ID number: 07724861 Prvomájová 1262/33, Radotín, 153 00 Praha 5, Czech Republic
tender price:	CZK 390,000.00 excl. VAT
Tender No. 3	
Visimind AB	business ID number: 556590-1559 [<i>note: foreign contractor</i>] Industrivägen 7, 171 48, Solna, Sweden
tender price:	CZK 325,000.00 excl. VAT
Identification of all tenderers excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion:	
No tenderer was excluded from the procurement procedure.	
Identification of the contractors with which a contract was concluded and identification of the subcontractors, if known to the contracting authority:	
Name/company name:	Visimind AB
Business ID number:	556590-1559 [<i>note: foreign contractor</i>]
Registered office:	Industrivägen 7, 171 48, Solna, Sweden

Justification of the selection of the most advantageous tender:	<p>In accordance with the tender conditions established by the contracting authority, the evaluation of tenders was carried out pursuant to Section 119 of the Act, based on the economic advantageousness of the tenders, specifically on the basis of the lowest tender price. The subject of the evaluation was the total tender price in CZK excluding VAT offered by the tenderers in the procurement procedure.</p> <p>In line with the selected evaluation criterion, the following ranking of tenders was determined:</p> <table data-bbox="762 488 1406 584"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th style="text-align: right;">Tender price excl. VAT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1. Visimind AB</td> <td style="text-align: right;">CZK 325,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. 3gon Positioning s.r.o.</td> <td style="text-align: right;">CZK 390,000.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Under the criterion of the lowest tender price was rated the tender No. 3 submitted by Visimind AB as the best. The selected contractor, Visimind AB, satisfied all statutory requirements and the conditions of participation laid down by the contracting authority for Lot 3 of the public contract.</p>		Tender price excl. VAT	1. Visimind AB	CZK 325,000.00	2. 3gon Positioning s.r.o.	CZK 390,000.00
	Tender price excl. VAT						
1. Visimind AB	CZK 325,000.00						
2. 3gon Positioning s.r.o.	CZK 390,000.00						
Which part of the public contract is to be performed by subcontractors (if known to the contracting authority):	Without subcontractors						
Justification for the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure, where such procedures were used:							
Not used.							
Justification for the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication, where such procedure was used:							
Not used.							
Justification for the use of a light regime, where such regime was used:							
Not used.							
Justification for the cancellation of the procurement procedure where such case occurred:							
It was not cancelled.							
Justification for the use of communication means other than electronic means for the submission of tenders, where such other means were used:							
None were used.							
List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures, where a conflict of interest was detected:							
No conflict of interest was found.							
If the contracting authority did not divide the above-threshold public contract into lots, the contracting authority provide justification for this procedure, unless provided in the procurement documents:							
The public contract was divided into three lots, each lot concerning the supply of one type of LiDAR sensors.							
Justification for laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act, where it has not already indicated it in the procurement documents:							
Not used.							

In Ostrava on the date of the electronic signature

.....
Mgr. Eva Burdová
public procurement specialist