



Written report of the contracting authority

pursuant to Section 217 of Act No. 134/2016 Coll., on Public Procurement, as amended
(hereinafter referred to as the "Act")

an above-threshold public contract awarded in an open procedure
entitled

„Supply of LiDAR sensors“

Lot 2: A set of 4 pieces of 120° long-range LiDAR sensors

| | |
|--|---|
| Identification details of the contracting authority (name, registered office, business ID number): | VSB - Technical University of Ostrava 17. listopadu 2172/15, 708 00 Ostrava-Poruba 61989100 |
| Subject of the public contract: | The subject of performance for Lot 2 of the public contract is the delivery of a set of 4 pieces of 120° high resolution long-range LiDAR sensors. The performance also includes transport to the place of performance. A detailed specification of the subject of the public contract is provided in the procurement documentation. |
| Price agreed in the contract for the public contract: | No contract was concluded; the procurement procedure was cancelled by the contracting authority pursuant to Section 127(2)(d) of the Act. |
| Type of procurement procedure used: | open procedure pursuant to Section 56 of the Act |
| Identification of the participants in the procurement procedure (name/company name, business ID number, registered office/place of business) and their tender prices: | |
| Tender No. 2 | |
| 3gon Positioning s.r.o. | business ID number: 07724861 Prvomájová 1262/33, Radotín, 153 00 Praha 5, Czech Republic |
| tender price: | CZK 290,000.00 excl. VAT |
| Tender No. 3 | |
| Visimind AB | business ID number: 556590-1559 <i>[note: foreign contractor]</i> Industrivägen 7, 171 48, Solna, Sweden |
| tender price: | CZK 290 000,00 excl. VAT |
| Identification of all participants excluded from the procurement procedure including a statement of grounds for their exclusion: | |
| No participant was excluded from the procurement procedure. | |
| Identification of the contractors with which a contract was concluded and identification of the subcontractors, if known to the contracting authority: | |
| No contract was concluded; the procurement procedure was cancelled by the contracting authority pursuant to Section 127(2)(d) of the Act. | |
| Justification for the use of a negotiated procedure with prior publication or a competitive dialogue procedure, where such procedures were used: | |
| Not used. | |

| |
|---|
| Justification for the use of a negotiated procedure without prior publication, where such procedure was used: |
| Not used. |
| Justification for the use of a light regime, where such regime was used: |
| Not used. |
| Justification for the cancellation of the procurement procedure where such case occurred: |
| <p>The contracting authority cancelled the procurement procedure for this Lot of the above-mentioned public contract pursuant to Section 127(2)(d) of the Act, as during the procurement procedure for this lot of the public contract the grounds which merit particular consideration have occurred and on the basis of these grounds the Contracting Authority cannot be required to continue the procurement procedure.</p> <p>The contracting authority received two tenders for this lot of the public contract. During the tender evaluation, it was found that both tenders contained identical tender prices. The contracting authority did not set rules for the case of equal tender prices among tenders with the lowest tender price, the contracting authority concludes that this situation constitutes grounds which merit particular consideration, due to which it cannot be reasonably required of the contracting authority to continue the procurement procedure for this lot of the public contract. Under the circumstances at hand, it is not possible, if the procurement procedure for Lot 2 of the public contract were to continue, to guarantee proper and indisputable compliance with all public procurement principles under Section 6 of the Act, in particular the principles of transparency and non-discrimination, especially when completing the evaluation phase and subsequently selecting the contractor.</p> <p>For these reasons, the contracting authority decided, pursuant to Section 127(2)(d) of the Act, to cancel the procurement procedure for Lot 2 of the public contract.</p> |
| Justification for the use of communication means other than electronic means for the submission of tenders, where such other means were used: |
| None were used. |
| List of persons who were found to have a conflict of interest and a list of subsequently adopted measures, where a conflict of interest was detected: |
| No conflict of interest was found. |
| If the contracting authority did not divide the above-threshold public contract into lots, the contracting authority provide justification for this procedure, unless provided in the procurement documents: |
| The public contract was divided into three lots, each lot concerning the supply of one type of LiDAR sensors. |
| Justification for laying down the requirement of turnover when proceeding pursuant to Section 78 (3) of the Act, where it has not already indicated it in the procurement documents: |
| Not used. |

In Ostrava on the date of the electronic signature

.....
 Mgr. Eva Burdová
 public procurement specialist